

List of Maps

Map AHC 1. Probability of high habitat capacity at base condition and relative change in probability in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability for 100 years divided by the probability at base Page AQ 183

Map AHC 2. Expected value of habitat capacity at base condition and relative change in expected value in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base Page AQ 184

Map BT1. Current probability of strong for bull trout for subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region. Probabilities were estimated from known status and distribution and categorical classification models as outlined in Lee and others (1997) Page AQ 185

Map BT2. Future probability of strong for bull trout given current or ‘base’ conditions for subwatersheds and relative change in probability from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability for strong at 100 years divided by the probability at base Page AQ 186

Map BT3. Change from base in the count of strong for bull trout in subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region Page AQ 187

Map YCT1. Current probability of strong for Yellowstone cutthroat trout for subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region. Probabilities were estimated from known status and distribution and categorical classification models as outlined in Lee and others (1997) Page AQ 188

Map YCT2. Future probability of strong for Yellowstone cutthroat trout given current or ‘base’ conditions and relative change in status from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base Page AQ 189

Map YCT3. Change from base in the count of strong for Yellowstone cutthroat trout in subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region Page AQ 190

Map WCT1. Current probability of strong for westslope cutthroat trout for subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region. Probabilities were estimated from known status and distribution and categorical classification models as outlined in Lee and others (1997) Page AQ 191

Map WCT2. Future probability of strong for westslope cutthroat trout given current or ‘base’ conditions and relative change in probability from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base . Page AQ 192

[Map WCT3](#). Change from base in the count of strong for westslope cutthroat trout in subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region Page AQ 193

[Map RBT1](#). Current probability of strong for redband trout for subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region. Probabilities were estimated from known status and distribution and categorical classification models as outlined in Lee and others (1997) Page AQ 194

[Map RBT2](#). Future probability of strong for redband trout given current or ‘base’ conditions for subwatersheds and relative change in probability from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base Page AQ 195

[Map RBT3](#). Change from base in the count of strong for redband trout in subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region Page AQ 196

[Map SH1](#). Current probability of strong for steelhead for subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region. Probabilities were estimated from known status and distribution and categorical classification models as outlined in Lee and others (1997) Page AQ 197

[Map SH2](#). Future probability of strong for steelhead given current or ‘base’ conditions and relative change in status from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base Page AQ 198

[Map SH3](#). Current probability of present for steelhead for subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region. Probabilities were estimated from known status and distribution and categorical classification models as outlined in Lee and others (1997) Page AQ 199

[Map SH4](#). Future probability of present for steelhead given current or ‘base’ conditions and relative change in status from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base Page AQ 200

[Map SH5](#). Change from base in the count of strong for steelhead in subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region Page AQ 201

[Map SH6](#). Change from base in the count of present for steelhead in subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region Page AQ 202

[Map STC1](#). Current probability of strong for stream-type chinook salmon for subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region. Probabilities were estimated from known status and distribution and categorical classification models as outlined in Lee and others (1997) Page AQ 203

[Map STC2](#). Future probability of strong for stream-type chinook salmon given current or ‘base’ conditions and relative change in probability from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base Page AQ 204

[Map STC3](#). Current probability of present for stream-type chinook salmon for subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region. Probabilities were estimated from known status and distribution and categorical classification models as outlined in Lee and others (1997) Page AQ 205

[Map STC4](#). Future probability ‘present’ of stream-type chinook salmon given current or ‘base’ conditions and relative change in probability from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base . . Page AQ 206

[Map STC5](#). Change from base in the count of strong for stream-type chinook salmon in subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region Page AQ 207

[Map STC6](#). Change from base in the count of present for stream-type chinook salmon in subwatersheds of the ICBEMP Management Region Page AQ 208

[Map AN1](#). Ratio of A1/A2 HUCs to salmonid presence and high aquatic integrity in 3rd code HUCs for alternative S2 Page AQ 209

[Map AN2](#). Ratio of A1/A2 HUCs to salmonid presence and high aquatic integrity in 3rd code HUCs for alternative S3 Page AQ 210

[Map AN3](#). Ratio of A1/A2 HUCs to salmonid presence and high aquatic integrity in subbasins for alternative S2 Page AQ 211

[Map AN4](#). Ratio of A1/A2 HUCs to salmonid presence and high aquatic integrity in subbasins for alternative S3 Page AQ 212

[Map STC2D \(Appendix AQ-1\)](#). Future probability of strong for stream-type chinook salmon given current or ‘base’ conditions and relative change in probability from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base (DAM ALTERNATIVE) Page AQ 213

[Map STC4D \(Appendix AQ-1\)](#). Future probability ‘present’ of stream-type chinook salmon given current or ‘base’ conditions and relative change in probability from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base (DAM ALTERNATIVE) Page AQ 214

[Map SH2D \(Appendix AQ-1\)](#). Future probability of strong for steelhead given current or ‘base’ conditions and relative change in status from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base (DAM ALTERNATIVE) Page AQ 215

[Map SH4D \(Appendix AQ-1\)](#). Future probability of present for steelhead given current or ‘base’ conditions and relative change in status from base conditions in three alternatives at 100 years. Relative change was estimated as the ratio of the probability at 100 years divided by the probability at base (DAM ALTERNATIVE) Page AQ 216